

Homology of L_∞ -algebras and Cyclic Homology

Masoud Khalkhali*

A celebrated theorem of Loday and Quillen [LQ] and (independently) Tsygan [T] states that the Lie algebra homology of the Lie algebra of stable matrices over an associative algebra is canonically isomorphic, as a Hopf algebra, to the exterior power of the cyclic homology of the associative algebra. The main point of this paper is to lay the ground such that an extension of this theorem to the category of A_∞ -algebras becomes possible (theorem 3.1).

The category of L_∞ - (respectively, A_∞ -) algebras extend the category of differential graded (DG) Lie (respectively, DG associative) algebras. These concepts are both due to J. Stasheff. See [S], [LS], and references therein, and also [HS] where an alternative approach to L_∞ -algebras is given. In [Kh], we proposed an approach to homological invariants of A_∞ -algebras (Hochschild, cyclic, periodic cyclic, etc.) based on the notion of X -complex due to Cuntz and Quillen [CQ]. It seems that it is now possible to extend most of the tools of noncommutative geometry of Connes [C] to the homotopical setting of A_∞ and L_∞ -algebras. There is, however, a notable exception in that so far we don't know how the K -theory of an A_∞ -algebra should be defined.

1 L_∞ and A_∞ algebras

Let V be a vector space (not graded). Let S^cV denote the cofree cocommutative counital coassociative coalgebra generated by V . Over fields of characteristic zero there are two different constructions for S^cV that we recall now. First, let $T^cV = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$ be the cofree counital coassociative coalgebra generated by V . Its comultiplication $\Delta : T^cV \longrightarrow T^cV \otimes T^cV$ is defined by

$$\Delta(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (v_1, \dots, v_i) \otimes (v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n),$$

and its counit is the projection onto $V^{\otimes 0} = K$. The symmetric group S_n acts (on the left) on $V^{\otimes n}$ in the standard way (without signs):

$$\sigma(v_1, \dots, v_n) = (v_{\sigma(1)}^{-1}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}^{-1}).$$

* The author is supported by NSERC of Canada. The author is grateful to J. Williams for typing the manuscript.

Let

$$S^cV = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (V^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$$

be the space of invariants of this action (symmetric tensors). Since the S_n -action is compatible with the coproduct, S^cV is a subcoalgebra of T^cV . It is obviously cocommutative. The symmetrization map

$$P(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

defines a surjection $T^cV \rightarrow S^cV$. It is not a coalgebra map, but is a retraction for the canonical inclusion $S^cV \rightarrow T^cV$.

Alternatively, let $\overline{S}^cV = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (V^{\otimes n})_{S_n}$ be the space of coinvariants of the above S_n -action.

We have a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces $S^cV \simeq \overline{S}^cV$. In fact the natural map $(V^{\otimes n})^{S_n} \rightarrow (V^{\otimes n})_{S_n}$ obtained by composing the inclusion into $V^{\otimes n}$ by projecting onto coinvariants has an inverse given by the symmetrization P . Under this isomorphism the coproduct of S^cV is transformed to a coproduct $\overline{\Delta}$ on $\overline{S}^cV$. It is easy to see that it is given by

$$\overline{\Delta}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p, n-p}} \sigma \Delta(v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

where $S_{p,q}$ is the space of all (p, q) -shuffles.

The coalgebra S^cV has universal properties with respect to coalgebra morphisms and coderivations. Let A be a cocommutative counital coalgebra. Then we have a 1-1 correspondence

$$Hom^{coalg}(A, S^cV) \simeq Hom(A, V),$$

where Hom^{coalg} denotes counital coalgebra maps.

Let M be a left counitary comodule over a cocommutative coalgebra A , with left comultiplication $\Delta_\ell : M \rightarrow A \otimes M$. Let $\Delta_r : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ be the natural right coaction derived from Δ_ℓ . Recall that a coderivation is a linear map $D : M \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\Delta D = (1 \otimes D) \Delta_\ell + (D \otimes 1) \Delta_r.$$

For any (symmetric) S^cV comodule M , we have a 1-1 correspondence

$$coder(M, S^cV) \simeq Hom(M, V).$$

A coderivation $\delta : T^cV \rightarrow T^cV$ induces a coderivation $\delta' : S^cV \rightarrow S^cV$ by the composition of maps

$$S^cV \longrightarrow T^cV \xrightarrow{\delta} T^cV \longrightarrow S^cV$$

where the first map is the inclusion and the last map is the projection P .

1.1 Lemma. δ' is a coderivation.

Instead of a formal proof, it is best to observe that this is the (pre) dual of a fact for algebras: a derivation $\delta : TV \rightarrow TV$ of free algebras induces a derivation $\delta' : SV \rightarrow SV$ of the symmetric algebra via the composition

$$SV \longrightarrow TV \xrightarrow{\delta} TV \longrightarrow SV .$$

In fact the canonical projection $TV \longrightarrow SV$ has a natural section $SV \xrightarrow{s} TV$, the symmetrization map. s is not an algebra map, but $s(xy) - s(x)s(y) \in I$ the ideal generated by $\{u \otimes v - v \otimes u; u, v \in V\}$ in TV . Hence to show that δ' is a derivation, suffices to show that $\delta I \subset I$. However, for an element $z = x(u \otimes v - v \otimes u)y \in I$, we have

$$\delta z = \delta x \cdot (u \otimes v - v \otimes u)y + x(\delta u \otimes v + u \otimes \delta v - \delta v \otimes u - v \otimes \delta u)y + x(u \otimes v - v \otimes u)\delta y$$

and hence $\delta z \in I$.

Next, we note that all of our definitions and constructions so far are functorial and can be repeated verbatim in any symmetric monoidal category, also known as symmetric tensor category. In particular we can apply this to the tensor category of $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space.

The objects are graded vector spaces $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$ and the morphisms $Hom(V, W) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Hom^n(V, W)$, where $Hom^n(V, W) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Hom(V_i, W_{i+n})$ is the set of linear maps of degree n . The tensor product is defined by $(V \otimes W)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} V_i \otimes W_j$. The symmetry $S : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$ is defined by $S(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v$. As in any symmetric tensor category, the symmetric group S_n acts on the tensor power $V^{\otimes n}$. It is given by

$$\sigma(v_1, \dots, v_n) = (-1)^\varepsilon (x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) ,$$

where $(-1)^\varepsilon$ is, in general, different from the sign of the permutation σ . It is however the same if $|v_i| = 1$ for all i . From now on we freely use our previous constructions in the above context.

In particular, we note that the cofree graded cocommutative coalgebra of a vector space V (not graded), denoted $\Lambda^c V$, is nothing but $S^c V[1]$. Motivated by this, we define for any positively graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i$, the cofree cocommutative coalgebra $\Lambda^c V := S^c V[1]$. We have $\Lambda^0 V = K$, $\Lambda^n V = \{x \in V[1]^{\otimes n}; \sigma x = x\}$. The coproduct is given by

$$\Delta(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (v_1, \dots, v_i) \otimes (v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n) .$$

Under the graded bracket $[\delta^1, \delta^2] = \delta^1 \delta^2 - (-1)^{|\delta^1||\delta^2|} \delta^2 \delta^1$, the space $coder(\Lambda^c V, \Lambda^c V)$ is a graded Lie algebra. The isomorphism

$$coder(\Lambda^c V, \Lambda^c V) = Hom(\Lambda^c V, V[1])$$

defines a bracket on the right hand side. An element $\ell : \Lambda^c V \rightarrow V[1]$ of degree -1 is naturally identified with a sequence of map

$$\ell_n : V[1]^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V[1], \quad n \geq 1,$$

such that $|\ell_n| = -1$ and ℓ_n is “antisymmetric”, in the sense that, $\forall \sigma \in S_n$,

$$\ell_n(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = (-1)^\varepsilon \ell_n(v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

where ε is as before, except that we now use degrees in $V[1]$.

Given such a map ℓ , the corresponding coderivation $\delta_\ell : \Lambda^c V \rightarrow \Lambda^c V$ is given by the

$$\delta_\ell(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (-1)^\varepsilon P(v_1, \dots, \ell_k(v_j, \dots, v_{j+k}), \dots, v_n),$$

where P is the projection operator and $\varepsilon = \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} |v_s|$ (suspended degrees).

1.2 Definition. Let $L = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} L_i$ be a graded vector space. An L_∞ structure (also called strongly homotopy Lie algebra structure) on L is a coderivation $\delta_\delta : \Lambda^c L \rightarrow \Lambda^c L$ of degree -1 such that $\delta_\ell^2 = 0$.

Since $\delta_\ell^2 = \frac{1}{2}[\delta_\ell, \delta_\ell] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{[\ell, \ell]} = \delta_{\ell \circ \ell}$. We see that $\delta_\ell^2 = 0$ iff $\ell \circ \ell = 0$. Here $\circ$ is the analogue of Gerstenhaber’s product for Lie cochains.

One can give a completely parallel treatment for A_∞ -algebras. From the beginning one has to work with cofree coassociative coalgebra $T^c V$. Thus an A_∞ structure on a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ is a degree -1 coderivation $\delta_m : T^c A[1] \rightarrow T^c A[1]$ such that

$\delta_m^2 = \frac{1}{2}[\delta_m, \delta_m] = 0$. Here $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i : T^c V[1] \rightarrow V[1]$ is a cochain of degree -1. Each individual cochain $m_i : V[1]^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V[1]$ has degree -1.

The functor $A \rightarrow A^{Lie}$ from associative algebras to Lie algebras, where $A^{Lie} = A$ with the Lie bracket $[a, b] = ab - ba$, has a vast generalization to a functor from A_∞ -algebras to L_∞ -algebras that we are going to describe now. In fact this is just the analogue of lemma 1.1 above in the tensor category of graded vector spaces. Note that a direct computation would be extremely hard. Let (A, m) be an A_∞ -algebra. From the coderivation $\delta_m : T^c A[1] \rightarrow T^c A[1]$, we construct a coderivation $\delta_\ell : \Lambda^c A = S^c A[1] \rightarrow \Lambda^c A$ as the composition

$$S^c A[1] \xhookrightarrow{i} T^c A[1] \xrightarrow{\delta_\ell} T^c A[1] \xrightarrow{p} S^c A[1]$$

where the first map is the natural inclusion and the last map is the natural projection so that $\delta_\ell = p\delta_m i$. Since $ip = id$, we have

$$\delta_\ell^2 = p\delta_m ip\delta_m i = p\delta_m^2 i = 0.$$

We also need to define the tensor product of two A_∞ -algebras (A, m) and (A', m) . This is an A_∞ -algebra whose underlying graded space is $A \otimes A'$. Our construction of this tensor product is based on the following simple facts. First, let C_1 and C_2 be graded coalgebras and $\delta_i : C_i \rightarrow C_i$, $i = 1, 2$ graded coderivations of degree -1 such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = 0$. Then the map

$$\delta = \delta_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_2 : C_1 \otimes C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \otimes C_2$$

is a coderivation and $\delta^2 = 0$. Note that graded tensor product of graded coalgebras is used.

Secondly, if $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is a coalgebra map and $\delta : C_2 \rightarrow C_2$ is a coderivation, then $\delta \circ f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is a coderivation, where we regard C_1 a C_2 -bicomodule via f . There is a similar statement for composing from right, i.e. $f \circ \delta$.

Now let (A, m) and (A', m) be A_∞ -algebras. Consider the composition of maps

$$T^c(A \otimes A')[1] \longrightarrow T^c A[1] \otimes T^c A'[1] \xrightarrow{\delta_m \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_m} T^c A[1] \otimes T^c A[1] \longrightarrow T^c(A \otimes A')[1]$$

where the first and last maps are morphisms of graded coalgebras. The first map is defined by a pair of cocommuting coalgebra maps $T^c(A \otimes A')[1] \rightarrow T^c A[1]$ and $T^c(A \otimes A')[1] \rightarrow T^c A'[1]$, while each of these individual maps are defined by sending $(A \otimes A')[1] \rightarrow A[1]$ (resp. $(A \otimes A')[1] \rightarrow A'[1]$) and other parts of $T^c(A \otimes A')[1]$ to zero and then coextending them to a coalgebra map. The last map is defined in a similar way. Of course one has to check that the induced $T^c(A \otimes A')[1]$ -bicomodule structure on itself, induced from these two maps is the same as the bicomodule-structure induced from the coproduct.

There is a special case of the above construction that is particularly simple and also important for us. If (A, m) is an A_∞ -algebra and B is an associative algebra considered as an A_∞ -algebra in the obvious way, then $A \otimes B$ is given by $(A \otimes B)_n = A_n \otimes B$ and its defining cochains $m'_n : (A \otimes B)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A \otimes B$ are given by

$$m'_n(a_1 \otimes b_1, \dots, a_n \otimes b_n) = m_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes b_1 b_2, \dots, b_n.$$

In particular, we will apply this construction to $B = M_n(\mathbb{K})$, the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over the ground field $\mathbb{K}$. We obtain an A_∞ -algebra $M_n(A)$. We denote its associated L_∞ -algebra by $g\ell_n(A)$, and we let $g\ell(A) = \varinjlim g\ell_n(A)$ be the direct limit of these L_∞ -algebras.

2 Homology of L_∞ and A_∞ algebras

Like Lie algebras, there are at least two approaches to define the (co)homology of an L_∞ -algebra (L, ℓ) with coefficients in an L_∞ -module. One can define a universal enveloping algebra $U(L, \ell)$ and define the (co)homology of (L, ℓ) as the Hochschild (co)homology of $U(L, \ell)$ with coefficients. Note that there are two variants of this universal enveloping algebra, one of which is an A_∞ -algebra and can be regraded as the left adjoint to the functor $A \rightarrow A^{Lie}$ from A_∞ to L_∞ -algebras. The second is a DG algebra [LS, HS]. One obviously hopes that the two definitions give the same answer.

Alternatively, one notes that $(\Lambda^c L, \delta_\ell)$ is the analogue of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex for L_∞ algebras. In fact if L is a (DG) Lie algebra, then it is exactly the Chevalley-Eilenberg

complex of L . Again one naturally expects that this definition be equivalent to the above. We, however, don't need this and indeed are only interested in homology with trivial coefficients. We denote this by $H_\bullet(L, \ell)$ and define it as the homology of graded cocommutative coalgebra $(\Lambda^c L, \delta_\ell)$. In particular $H_\bullet(L, \ell)$ is a graded cocommutative coalgebra.

We need to know that “inner derivations” act like zero on $H_\bullet$. Let (L, ℓ) be an L_∞ -algebra. By a derivation of L of degree k , we mean a map

$$d : \Lambda^c L \rightarrow L[1]$$

such that $|d| = k$ and the induced coderivation

$$\delta_d : \Lambda^c L \rightarrow \Lambda^c L$$

satisfies $[\delta_\ell, \delta_d] = 0$. Thus a derivation induces a morphism of the complex $(\Lambda^c L, \delta_\ell)$ and hence act on $H_\bullet(L, \ell)$. To give an example, let L be a Lie algebra. A map $d_k : \Lambda^k L \rightarrow L$ and $d_i = 0$, $i \neq k$, is a derivation in the above sense iff L is a Chevalley-Eilenberg cocycle for L with coefficients in the adjoint module L .

A derivation δ_d of (L, ℓ) is called *inner* if there exists a cochain $\delta_{d'}$ such that $\delta_d = [\delta_\ell, \delta_{d'}]$.

2.1 Lemma. *Inner derivations act like zero on the homology of L_∞ -algebras.*

Now let (L, ℓ) be an A_∞ -algebra and $h \subset L_0$ a sub Lie algebra. One can define a reduced Chevalley-Eilenberg complex, $CE_\bullet(L, \ell)_h$. It is simply the space of coinvariants $CE_\bullet(L, \ell)$ under the action of h by inner derivations. It follows from the above lemma, exactly as in the Lie algebra case (cf. [L]), that if h is a reductive Lie algebra, then the projection $CE_\bullet(L, \ell) \rightarrow CE_\bullet(L, \ell)_h$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Next, let us briefly recall the definition of the cyclic homology of A_∞ -algebras. The cyclic homology of A_∞ -algebras was first defined by Getzler and Jones in [GJ], where they defined a bicomplex similar to Connes's (b, B) -bicomplex.

In [Kh], we gave an alternative approach to cyclic homology of A_∞ -algebras, based on the notion of X -complex due to Cuntz and Quillen [CQ]. In particular a new bicomplex for A_∞ -algebras is defined in [Kh], which is the analogue of Connes-Tsygan bicomplex. For this paper, however, it is most convenient to use a third complex, the analogue of Connes's cyclic complex. It follows from the results of [Kh], that the three complexes are quasi-isomorphic.

Let (A, m) be an A_∞ -algebra. For $n \geq 0$, let $C_n(A, m) = A[1]^{\otimes n+1}$. Define operators $b_m : C_n(A, m) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(A, m)$ and $\lambda : C_n(A, m) \rightarrow C_n(A, m)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b_m(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{ij}} (a_1, \dots, m_i(a_j, \dots, a_{j+1}), \dots, a_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n-i+1}^n (-1)^{\varepsilon_i} (m_i(a_j, \dots, a_{i+j-n-2}), \dots, a_{j-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (-1)^{|a_n|(|a_1| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|)} (a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}).$$

Then $b_m^2 = 0$. Also $Im(1 - \lambda)$ is invariant under b_m . Let $C_n^\lambda(A, m) = C_n(A, m)/Im(1 - \lambda)$ be the space of coinvariants under the action of the cyclic group. The complex $(C_\bullet^\lambda(A, m), b_m)$ is called the cyclic complex of the A_∞ algebra (A, m) and its homology is called the cyclic homology of (A, m) and is denoted by $HC_\bullet(A, m)$.

3 Proof of the main theorem

Let (A, m) be a unital A_∞ -algebra and let $(g\ell(A), \ell)$ denote the L_∞ -algebra of stable matrices over (A, m) . Let $g\ell(K) \subset g\ell(A)$ be the subalgebra of stable matrices with coefficients in the ground field K . Our first task is to show that the complex of coinvariants $CE_\bullet(g\ell(A), \ell)_{g\ell(K)}$ is a cocommutative and commutative DG Hopf algebra, as in the associative case.

In fact, it is enough to observe that the same maps as in [L] serves the purpose. Define

$$\oplus : g\ell(A) \oplus g\ell(A) \rightarrow g\ell(A)$$

by sending $(a_{ij}) \times (b_{ij}) \mapsto (c_{ij})$, where

$$c_{2i+1, 2j+1} = a_{ij}, \quad c_{2i, 2j} = b_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

It is easy to see that $\oplus$ is a strict morphism of L_∞ -algebras and hence, via the diagonal map, induces a morphism of complexes

$$CE_\bullet(g\ell(A), \ell) \otimes CE_\bullet(g\ell(A), \ell) \rightarrow CE_\bullet(g\ell(A), \ell) .$$

This map is neither associative nor commutative. To show that it induces an associative and commutative product on the space of coinvariants we need the following simple observation. Let A be a unital algebra (not necessarily associative) and let $tr : M_n(A) \rightarrow A$ be the standard “trace”. Then $\alpha \in [M_n(K), M_n(A)]$ (commutator subspace) iff $Tr \alpha = 0$. Now, since in the above map $Tr(\alpha \oplus \beta) = Tr(\beta \oplus \alpha)$ and $Tr(\alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma)) = Tr((\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma)$, we conclude that the induced product

$$CE_\bullet(g\ell(A, m))_{g\ell(K)} \otimes CE_\bullet(g\ell(A, m))_{g\ell(K)} \rightarrow CE_\bullet(g\ell(A, m))_{g\ell(K)}$$

is graded commutative and associative and hence $CE_\bullet(g\ell(A), \ell)$ is a DG cocommutative and commutative Hopf algebra.

The rest of the proof of the following extension of Loday-Quillen-Tsygan theorem is very similar to the original case of associative algebras [L]. We note that an extension of Loday-Quillen-Tsygan theorem to the category of DG Lie algebras has already been obtained by Burghilea [B].

3.1 Theorem. *Let (A, m) be a unital A_∞ -algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of graded Hopf algebras*

$$H_\bullet(g\ell(A), \ell) \simeq \Lambda(HC_\bullet(A, m)[1]) .$$

References

- [B] Burghlea, D., *Cyclic homology and the algebraic K-theory of spaces I*, Contemporary Mathematics, 55, part I, 1986, 89–115.
- [C] Connes, A., *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press (1994).
- [CQ] Cuntz, J. and Quillen, D., *Cyclic homology and nonsingularity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 8 (1995), 373–442.
- [GJ] Getzler, E. and Jones, J.D.S., *A_∞ -algebras and the cyclic bar complex*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, 34 (1990), 256–283.
- [HS] Hinich, V. and Schechtman, V., *Homotopy Lie Algebras*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, Vol. 16, part 2, (1993), 1–28.
- [Kh] Khalkhali, M., *On cyclic homology of A_∞ -algebras*, preprint, 1998.
- [LS] Lada, T. and Stasheff, J., *Introduction to sh Lie Algebras for physicists*, preprint.
- [L] Loday, J.-L., *Cyclic Homology*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [LQ] Loday, J.-L. and Quillen, D., *Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices*, Comment. Math. Helvetici 59 (1984), 565–591.
- [S] Stasheff, J., *On the homotopy associativity of H -spaces II*, Trans. AMS 108 (1963), 293–312.
- [T] Tsygan, B.L., *Homology of matrix algebras over rings and Hochschild homology*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 38 (1983), 217–218.

Masoud Khalkhali
University of Western Ontario
London, Canada
N6A 5B7
masoud@julian.uwo.ca